The Fourth General Assembly

Protecting the right to free and fair elections



MODEL UNITED NATIONS
THE INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF THE HAGUE

Mikael Wallenberg

Forum	GA4
Issue:	Protecting the right to free and fair elections
Student Officer:	Mikael Wallenberg
Position:	Deputy Chair

Introduction

The right to free and fair elections lies at the heart of democratic government and underlines true sovereignty, political participation, as well as government accountability. It ensures that governments derive their legitimacy from the will of the people, exercised through competitive, open, and periodic electoral processes. Despite its globally recognized fundamental significance, the world continues to be plagued by long-standing and emerging threats to electoral integrity. They span from voter suppression and disinformation campaigns, electoral fraud and foreign interference, to systematic disenfranchisement and election law manipulation.

The United Nations General Assembly's Fourth Committee (GA4), while having a primary focus on special political and decolonization issues, indirectly yet substantively contributes to the protection of electoral rights by addressing colonial legacies, foreign occupation, and self-determination issues, any of which have far-reaching electoral legitimacy and justice implications. This report examines core electoral principles, analyzes contemporary challenges to electoral integrity worldwide, and assesses the roles and responsibilities of state and non-state actors in maintaining democratic norms. It also analyzes international mechanisms and considers potential policy solutions towards enhancing the protection of free and fair elections.

Definition of Key Terms

Free Elections

Elections where all eligible individuals can vote freely without danger, intimidation, or excessive persuasion. Some of the most notable features are universal suffrage, protecting the rights of voters irrespective of race, sex, or economic status. Offering equal opportunity for everyone to vote, and the maintenance of secret ballots for the sake of voters' privacy and autonomy.

Fair Elections

Elections that are conducted neutrally and in an open fashion, and only based on legal frameworks and procedural justice. They entail impartial administration, equal opportunity to stand for office, press freedom, and equal campaign conditions, without discrimination of any party or candidate.

Electoral Integrity

Overall adherence to internationally accepted norms and standards in the course of the electoral process (voter registration, candidate nomination, campaign activity, voting, counting, and result announcement) in order to ensure credibility, inclusiveness, and public confidence in the outcome of elections.

Disenfranchisement

Intentional denial or obstruction of the right to vote for certain groups or citizens, either openly through legislative prohibition or subtly through administrative barriers such as strict identification requirements, reduced polling stations, or voter poll purges.

Gerrymandering

The redistricting of legislative boundary lines with the express aim of advantaging particular political parties or groups disproportionately, undermining representative democracy by distorting the "one person, one vote" principle.

Electoral Fraud

Pre-planned actions designed to corrupt the electoral process, e.g., ballot box stuffing, manipulation of voting machines, vote buying, falsification of results, intimidation of voters and officials.

Foreign Interference

Action by a foreign state or non-state actor to influence the results of elections through cyber attacks, disinformation, clandestine funding of parties or candidates, propaganda, or coercive diplomacy.

Voter Suppression

Legal, procedural, or extralegal measures designed to decrease the turnout of targeted groups of voters, e.g., voter ID restrictions, purges of voter rolls, fewer voting hours, and closing polling places in minority communities.

Hybrid Regimes

Regimes that combine authoritarian rule with façade electoral processes, where elections are conducted but not with actual contestation, transparency, and fairness, and hence possess the form but not the substance of democracy.

General Overview

Elections are generally regarded as the central institutional means through which governments gain and maintain legitimacy in democratic societies. Essentially, elections make peaceful transfers of political power possible and permit the public to have an impact on public policy and leadership. However, the credibility of these processes has increasingly been eroded by a complex set of structural, technological, political, and social issues that threaten both the substantive equity of elections and public confidence in their outcomes.

Political and structural challenges

Authoritarian and hybrid regimes

In the majority of authoritarian and hybrid regimes, elections are instruments of political legitimation rather than competition for political power. The regimes resemble democracies by holding elections regularly, but structurally undermine the bare minimum of competitiveness, transparency, and fairness. This is achieved through several mechanisms, such as but not limited to:

- the use of state resources to the advantage of incumbents, including the deployment of public funds, media control, and harassment of civil servants, which tilts the electoral landscape.
- the imprisonment or banning of opposition activists and candidates, greeting a climate of fear and political oppression that mutes dissidence effectively.
- the use of electoral laws and legal systems to restrict opposition participation, such as limiting opposition participation in registration, barring opposing political parties, or redrawing electoral constituencies to benefit the ruling party (gerrymandering).

These tactics institutionalize power and maintain the facade of democratic choice. Belarus and other nations have regularly held elections that are not considered free or fair by international observers, and Zimbabwe and Cambodia demonstrate how electoral processes can be subordinated to aid the survival of authoritarian regimes.

Fragile and post-conflict states

Fragile and post-conflict states are especially challenged in conducting free and fair elections. Institutions are weak, there is insecurity, and societies are deeply polarized, all of which erode the capacity to organize credible elections. In such cases:

- election commissions tend not to be impartial or well-funded, deterring even-handed administration.

- security threats in the form of violence by armed groups or polotoca; militias intimidate voters and candidates, suppress turnout, and compromise the electoral process.
- dristrust among political or ethnic groups provokes tensions, sometimes leading to post-election violence, like the Kenyan electoral crisis of 2007-2008.

Elections in such situations are both crucial to peacebuilding and dangerous to the extent to which they can further destabilize.

Disenfranchisement and exclusion

In most democracies, segments of the population are singled out for systematic disenfranchisement. It takes the form of express legal prohibitions, e.g., disenfranchisement of felons, or more subtle administrative impediments such as obstruction to voter registration, polling places, or identity documents. Disenfranchisement is overly burdensome for marginalized groups such as ethnic minorities, women, the poor, rural dwellers, and people with disabilities. In the US, voter ID requirements and voter purges have been criticized for suppressing minority voting. In India, the absence of polling places and rural distances serve as barriers to voting accessibility.

Technological and information challenges

Disinformation and manipulation of public opinion

The development of digital technologies and social media platforms has reshaped political communication, but also introduced an unprecedented threat to electoral integrity. Sophisticated campaigns of disinformation, either state-backed or politically motivated, seek to influence the views of voters through false claims and spread divisions. The campaigns employ a variety of tactics:

- Use of bots and automatic accounts to amplify misleading content and simulate grassroots support.
- Creating and sharing deepfake videos and false news stories to discredit candidates or organizations.
- The application of micro-targeting algorithms to deliver political advertisements that reinforce pre-existing orientations as well as contribute to societal polarization.

These are the roots of epidemics of disinformation that confuse voters, disenfranchise turnout, and undercut faith in electoral outcomes. Russian meddling in the 2016 American presidential election demonstrated the international reach of such threats, revealing the possibility of foreign actors leveraging digital platforms to influence domestic politics.

Cybersecurity threats

Digitization of electoral infrastructure, including electronic voter registration, biometric databases, voting machines, and result transmission systems, has ushered in efficiency as well as vulnerability. Cyber attacks can compromise the integrity of confidentiality of electoral data, manipulate outcomes, or clock electoral processes. High-profile instances in Ukraine, Georgia, India, and Indonesia demonstrate the susceptibility of electoral processes to cyberattacks. The attacks can create widespread distrust, challenge the legitimacy of elected authorities, and kindle political tensions. Protecting decentralized and advanced electoral systems is an ongoing process, especially where institutional capacity and technical capabilities are in short supply.

Post-colonial and geopolitical dimensions

Electoral integrity problems are compounded where issues of the colonial era continue to be unresolved or where issues of unsettled controversy regarding self-determination persist. In territories such as Palestine, Western Sahara, and New Caledonia, the electoral process is intertwined with issues of sovereignty, foreign military occupation, and international diplomatic rivalry. Under these circumstances, the right to free and fair elections is limited by:

- presence of foreign military troops restricting movement and assembly.
- political movements that use elections as a means to delay or control self-determination referendums.
- outside forces that put stability or strategic interests ahead of democratic values.

Such geopolitical complexity often leads to prolonged delays in election processes, reduced legitimacy of referenda, and contested outcomes that fail to address the underlying political grievances.

Regulatory and normative challenges

Campaign finance and electoral laws

The increased use of private money and undisclosed campaign finance undermines fairness, as monied interests are then able to exert disproportionate influence. No nation has mechanisms for guaranteeing genuine transparency, and "dark money" can therefore flow freely. Uneven application of election laws (to voter registration, the media, or campaign conduct) also distorts the electoral environment. Gerrymandering and rule-gaming for mail-in ballots in established democracies also undermine electoral fairness.

Digital media and platform governance

Social media platforms are major spaces of electoral competition, but their regulatory frameworks lag behind emerging dangers. This lack of cross-cutting rules over political advertising, algorithmic openness, and disinformation control allows the use of these platforms to affect elections negatively.

Without regulations, such platforms may turn into tools of political manipulation rather than avenues of democratic engagement.

Major Parties Involved

United States of America (USA)

The USA is actively engaged everywhere in advancing democratic governance through institutions such as USAID and the National Endowment for Democracy; nevertheless, the USA still faces domestic issues such as partisan gerrymandering, voter suppression tactics, and a lack of open campaign finance. Its federal nature leads to highly inconsistent election administration among the states, making it difficult to impose standardized requirements.

Russian Federation

The Russian Federation has been found to interfere in the elections of other nations via cyber operations and disinformation, along with stifling its own country's elections via media influence, political oppression, and sidelining political opposition, undermining electoral competitiveness.

People's Republic of China (China)

The People's Republic of China promotes a different model of governance compared to Western liberal democracies, with a tilt toward centralized technocratic control. China has been accused of clandestine foreign election interference through economic coercion, infrastructure investment, and cyber-influence operations on African and Southeast Asian nations.

European Union (EU)

The EU strongly advocates for electoral integrity, providing financing for election observation, technical assistance, and democratic reform. Member states of the EU prefer more regulation of online political advertising and transparent campaign finance.

African Union (AU)

The African Union sends electoral observation missions and champions electoral legal reforms. However, some member states are resistant to AU oversight, and the observation missions' effectiveness is undermined by limited resources and political access barriers.

Organization of American States (OAS)

The OAS is engaged in election observation with some notable successes but also controversy, such as the disputed assessment of Bolivia's 2019 election, that have undermined its neutrality and impaired its credibility.

International Foundation for Electoral Systems (IFES) and National Democratic Institute (NDI)

Both of these parties provide technical support, capacity development, civic education, and legal assistance to improve democratic electoral processes around the world.

Social Media Companies (Meta, X, YouTube, TikTok)

These companies are facing heightened global pressure to cut down on election disinformation, disclose political ads, and cooperate with electoral commissions. Their policies and enforcement vary enormously by country and political environment.

Timeline of Key Events

Date	Description of event
December 10th, 1948	The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) was adopted, acknowledging the right to vote in free elections (Article 21)
December 16th, 1966	The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) establishes binding obligations of states to protect electoral rights (Article 25)
1989–1992	Democratic transitions in Eastern Europe, Africa, and Latin America following the Cold War expanded electoral democracy
June 29th, 1990	The Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE) adopts the Copenhagen Document of election standards for its 57 participating states
January 1st, 2000	The official establishment of the UN Electoral Assistance Division (UNEAD) to coordinate election assistance globally
November 21st, 2005 – February 28th, 2008	Kenya's constitutionally defective referendum is marred by irregularities and post-election violence, forcing international mediation and electoral reform
December 17th, 2010 – December 2011	The Arab Spring uprisings draw attention to the universal demand for voting rights amid authoritarianism
January 6th, 2017	The US intelligence confirms Russian interference in the Presidential election, revealing election cybersecurity and digital influence vulnerabilities
November 10th, 2019	OAS's contentious condemnation of Bolivia's election results prompts President Morales to resign and question the validity of international election observation.
March – November 2020	The COVID-19 pandemic disrupts electoral processes worldwide, with emergency voting measures of variable impact on accessibility and legitimacy.
February 1st, 2021 – present	Authoritarian resurgence represses civil society and electoral rights in Myanmar, Hong Kong, Belarus, and Russia
February 25th, 2024	Nigeria's elections are plagued by serious issues such as vote buying, logistics delays, and integrity concerns in result transmission.

UN Involvement, Relevant Resolutions, Treaties and Events

- Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 10 December 1948 (A/RES/217A) (Establishes in Article 21 the right to take part in government through genuine, periodic elections with universal and equal suffrage)
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 16 December 1966 (A/RES/2200A [XXI]) (Legally binding treaty. Article 25 guarantees citizens' rights to vote, be elected, and access public service without discrimination)
- Enhancing the Effectiveness of the Principle of Periodic and Genuine Elections, 17 December 1991 (A/RES/46/137) (Urges states to hold free, fair, and regular elections. Supports UN electoral observation and technical aid)
- Respect for the Principle of National Sovereignty and Non-Interference in the Internal Affairs of States in their Electoral Processes, 4 December 2000 (A/RES/55/96) (Stresses respect for national sovereignty and non-interference in electoral processes, except by agreed international mandate)
- United Nations Electoral Assistance Division (UNEAD), established 1 January 2000 (UN office coordinating global electoral assistance, including technical advice, logistics, and observation)
- UN Peacekeeping Missions with Electoral Components, e.g., UNMISS, MONUSCO, MINUSTAH

Previous Attempts to Solve the Issue

Electoral Observation

The concept: Deploy independent monitors, often from the UN, OSCE, EU, or regional organizations, to oversee voting, counting, and tabulation processes. The goal is to deter fraud, reassure citizens, and provide impartial post-election verdicts. It failed because observation missions tend to be limited to reporting rather than enforcing corrective action. In some cases, host governments refuse to give access to polling stations, counting centers, or rural areas, denying comprehensive coverage. Reports of irregularity may be ignored if the ruling authority is

well-established. Furthermore, politically slanted “observer” delegations from allied nations may render positive reports regardless of actual integrity, discrediting the observation process as a whole.

Capacity Building

The concept: Provide training, resources, and institutional support to electoral commissions and other bodies responsible for running elections. This often includes modernization of voter registration, security measures for ballots, and procedure manuals. Why it failed: In spite of technical advances, political intervention frequently undermined independent electoral management. Governments may place loyal parties on election commissions, manipulate voter rolls, or just decline to implement procedure checks. Without strong legal safeguards and political will, capacity building alone cannot defeat deeply ingrained partisan manipulation of the electoral process.

Digital Literacy and Media Monitoring

The concept: Equip citizens to critically evaluate information, refute misinformation, and track state or non-state propaganda during elections. Disinformation networks on social media are tracked by monitoring teams and AI-powered systems. Why it failed: Initiatives tend to be urban-focused, with rural and low-connectivity populations having minimal access to digital literacy initiatives. Levels of education, linguistic limitations, and low trust in official sources constrain effectiveness. Media monitoring can detect false narratives, yet the removal or countering of them is slow, which permits disinformation to influence public opinion prior to correction

Legal Reform

The concept: Revise electoral laws (often with the recommendation of the UN, the Venice Commission, or other international experts) to close loopholes, increase transparency, and strengthen dispute resolution mechanisms. Examples include post-Arab Spring Tunisia and Georgia’s constitutional reforms. Why it fails:

Sanctions

Targeted sanctions against autocratic regimes have mixed effects, at times reinforcing autocracy or injuring vulnerable populations.

Civil Society Engagement

Civic organizations and NGOs increase electoral transparency and engagement where civic space exists; their activities are progressively restricted by autocratic governments.

Technology Trials

Biometric registration of voters in Ghana and Kenya has reduced fraud by has created barriers for rural communities. Blockchain voting is in the experimental phase with unresolved security and accessibility issues.

Possible Solutions

Binding Electoral Norms

Negotiate a multilateral UN pact establishing binding minimum electoral norms, with procedures to monitor compliance and punish violators.

Independent Election Bodies

Establish institutional checks and balances such as cross-party commissions, terms, and safe budgets to ensure political independence.

Cybersecurity Measures

Create global standards and regional centers for the defense of election infrastructure, supported by UN technical expertise and rapid response capability.

Algorithmic Transparency

Mandate disclosure and independent audit of social media amplification algorithms employed to boost political content and ad targeting.

Global Electoral Observatory

Create a permanent global body that is mandated to observe elections, publish impartial reports, and recommend remedial or punitive actions.

Universal Civic Education

Implement mass, multi-lingual voter education programs drawing on UNDP and UNESCO assets, to foster rights awareness and resistance to disinformation.

NGO Protections

Provide UN-supported legal status and rapid response mechanisms to protect civil society actors from repression linked to elections.

Inclusive Registration Systems

Provide easy voter registration through automatic registration, mobile registration centers, and accessible polling stations to ensure maximum participation.

Fair Campaign Finance

Design and promote global model legislation for public financing of campaigns, spending caps, and public disclosure of donors to limit excessive influence.

Bibliography

Works Cited

"Did Democracy Survive the 2024 Global Election Marathon?" *The Guardian*, Guardian News and Media, 23 Dec. 2024,

www.theguardian.com/world/ng-interactive/2024/dec/23/did-democracy-survive-the-2024-global-election-marathon.

“Document of the Copenhagen Meeting of the Conference on the Human Dimension of the CSCE.” *OSCE*, www.osce.org/odihr/elections/14304. Accessed 25 June 2025.

Election Observation Handbook, www.osce.org/files/f/documents/5/e/68439.pdf. Accessed 26 June 2025.

“Elections | Department of Political and Peacebuilding Affairs.” *United Nations*, United Nations, dppa.un.org/en/elections. Accessed 25 June 2025.

Electoral Assistance, dppa.un.org/sites/default/files/electoral_assistance.pdf. Accessed 26 June 2025.

Electoral Assistance, dppa.un.org/sites/default/files/electoral_assistance.pdf. Accessed 26 June 2025.

“Electoral Cycle Support.” *UNDP*, www.undp.org/governance/electoral-cycle-support. Accessed 25 June 2025.

Freedom in the World 2023, freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/2023-03/FIW_World_2023_DigtalPDF.pdf. Accessed 26 June 2025.

The Global State of Democracy 2022 Forging Social Contracts in a Time of Discontent, www.idea.int/democracytracker/sites/default/files/2022-11/the-global-state-of-democracy-2022.pdf. Accessed 26 June 2025.

The Global State of Democracy 2023 The New Checks and Balances, www.idea.int/sites/default/files/2024-02/the-global-state-of-democracy-2023-the-new-checks-and-balances.pdf. Accessed 26 June 2025.

“The Global State of Democracy 2024 Strengthening the Legitimacy of Elections in a Time of Radical Uncertainty.” *Idea.Int*, www.idea.int/democracytracker/sites/default/files/2024-09/the-global-state-of-democracy-2024-strengthening-legitimacy-elections.pdf. Accessed 25 June 2025.

The Global State of Democracy Indices Codebook, www.idea.int/sites/default/files/2024-09/gsod-indices-codebook-v8-2024.pdf. Accessed 26 June 2025.

The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights - Manual for Human Rights Education with Young People - Www.Coe.Int, www.coe.int/en/web/compass/the-international-covenant-on-civil-and-political-rights. Accessed 26 June 2025.

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights | Ohchr, www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/international-covenant-civil-and-political-rights. Accessed 26 June 2025.

Introduction - OSCE/Odihr e-Learning, www.odihrobserver.org/mod/presentation/pdf/6edHandbookCh1-5.pdf. Accessed 26 June 2025.

"Methodology." *OSCE*, www.osce.org/odihr/elections/methodology. Accessed 25 June 2025.

Olsen, Jan M., and Associated Press. "Democracy Declined for 8th Straight Year around the Globe, Institute Finds." *KSAT*, KSAT San Antonio, 17 Sept. 2024, www.ksat.com/news/world/2024/09/17/democracy-declined-for-8th-straight-year-around-the-globe-institute-finds/.

"Publication Archives." *Freedom House*, freedomhouse.org/reports/publication-archives. Accessed 25 June 2025.

Serhan, Yasmeen. "How Democracy Fared around the World in 2022." *Time*, Time, 21 Dec. 2022, time.com/6242188/global-democracy-report-2022/.

Universal Declaration of Human Rights | Ohchr, www.ohchr.org/en/universal-declaration-of-human-rights. Accessed 26 June 2025.

Universal Declaration of Human Rights, www.un.org/en/udhrbook/pdf/udhr_booklet_en_web.pdf. Accessed 26 June 2025.

"Universal Declaration of Human Rights." *United Nations*, United Nations, www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights. Accessed 25 June 2025.

"Why Trump Is Really Going after Harvard." *The Guardian*, Guardian News and Media, 1 June 2025, www.theguardian.com/us-news/2025/jun/01/trump-harvard-authoritarianism-democracy.